

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/347,523	07/06/1999	YOSHIYUKI GOMI	103229	3978
25944 7590 07/01/2002 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
ADDAMI (Ditt.	-,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/347,523 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Dung Nguyen

Art Unit 2871

Gomi

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	TO EVEIDE 2 MONTH(S) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). Ir	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing date of this communication.	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply	the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 15, 2	2002				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This ac	ction is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex p$	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	ll a la tha andioction				
	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)					
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7, 8, and 10-26</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 12.	miner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:					
1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu 	documents have been received in this National Stage ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provision	onal application has been received.				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	□				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/347,523

Art Unit: 2871

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment dated 04/15/2002 has been received and entered.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5,7-8 and 10-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Applicant's admitted prior art (APA), figure 11, in view of Applicant's submitted prior art, Toshimichi et al.. JP 8-328002

Regarding the above claims, APA discloses an elector-optical device (figure 11) comprising:

- . A pair of substrates (30, 31);
- . An elector-optical material (39);
- . A plurality of pixels (46);
- . A lens array (L) with a plurality of convex microlenses as claimed;
- . A step portion (LB);

Application/Control Number: 09/347,523

Art Unit: 2871

. A transparent cover (48) adhered to the lens.

The difference between the claims and APA is that the step portion being substantially equal in height to the microlenses. However, Toshimichi et al. do disclose that a step portion can be formed with the height of microlenses (h) as shown in figure 2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify APA's elector-optical device having a step portion which is substantially equal in height to the microlenses in order to obtain sufficient mechanical strength as an opposite substrate (i.e, a lens array substrate) (see paragraph 0072).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ray et al (US 5,701,008) disclose a lens array substrate including a plurality of microlenses and a step portion (see figure 4).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 746-7730.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN 06/26/2002

William L. Sikes
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 2871